

1 Craig R. McClellan (71865)
 2 Robert J. Chambers, II (244688)
 2 THE McCLELLAN LAW FIRM
 3 1144 State Street
 3 San Diego, California 92101
 4 (619) 231-0505
 4
 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 6
 7

8
 9
 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12

13 KATHLEEN VESTEVICH,) **Case No.: 3:08-cv-00027-L-JMA**
 14 Plaintiff,)
 15 vs.) **PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S**
 16) **RESPONSE TO MOTION TO AMEND**
 17) **COMPLAINT**
 18)
 19)
 20) DATE: June 2, 2008
 21) TIME: 10:30 a.m.
 22) JUDGE: Hon. M. James Lorenz
 23) TRIAL: None set.
 24)
 25)
 26) Defendants.)
 27

I. REPLY

27 Plaintiff has no issue with defendant's conditional non-opposition to her Motion to
 28 Amend Complaint ("Motion"). However, in its response to the Motion, defendant requests
 for the first time that all other named defendants be dismissed. Plaintiff will not agree to
 such as dismissal, and submits that a conditional non-opposition is not the proper vehicle
 for this type of relief. If defendant wishes to seek a dismissal of all other defendants, it can
 do so through a properly noticed motion supported by declarations and legal authority
 rather than by argument of counsel.

1 Dated: May 5, 2008

THE McCLELLAN LAW FIRM

2

3

By: _____/s/
4 ROBERT J. CHAMBERS, II
Attorneys for Plaintiff

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28